

Methods of Attraction

How to bring in more contributors?

Matthias Hopf

(Almost) All OpenSource Projects

- ▶ Are understaffed
- ▶ Have more open bugs and ideas than people dealing with them
- ▶ Don't have a marketing facility
- ▶ Most don't have a dedicated project manager alike position either

- ▶ Requires alternative structures

X.org in Particular

- ▶ **Very understaffed**
 - Code size
 - Usage
- ▶ **Few new participants lately**
 - Never been a school kids' project, though

X.org Strengths and Weaknesses

- ▶ Pro: has a dedicated board
 - X.org BoD might fill in the marketing facility position
 - Might certainly not fill in the project manager position
- ▶ Pro: many areas for potential contributors
 - Existing drivers still need a lot of polishing
 - New drivers for handheld devices required
 - Documentation still lacking
 - Mesa still way below OpenGL 4.x
- ▶ Con: complex environment, not sexy
 - Required to be more vocal, more advertizing based than some other groups
- ▶ Con: existing developers mostly employed long-term contributors
 - Can be intimidating

Facilities

- ▶ X.org
 - Not driving development direction itself
- ▶ Distributions
 - Interested mostly in hardware support, directly usable features
- ▶ Users (Industry)
 - Interested mostly in required features
- ▶ Spare time contributors
 - Apart from academia the origin of open source
 - Limited possibility of support in monetary aspects
- ▶ Academia
 - Addressing OpenSource typically in a broad way, i.e. not X.org specific

Methods

- ▶ Easygoing communication
- ▶ Being cool
- ▶ Working development environment
- ▶ Ease of gaining speed
- ▶ Subvention programs
- ▶ Education

- ▶ Gaining **and** keeping contributors is important
 - Short-term contributors with high cost prohibitive

Communication

- ▶ Communication open, upfront
 - Often said to be the #1 contributor killer
 - Often said to be the #1 female contributor killer
 - Even if only code is criticized, not people
- ▶ People not used to push their own development
 - First-time contributions left stranded **almost** done
- ▶ Really as problematic as seen from the outside?
- ▶ Distinctive skill set required
 - Verbose, criticism tolerant, persisting, open minded
 - How to communicate this to potential contributors?
- ▶ How to improve stickiness?

Coolness

- ▶ Some projects are „just kewl“ - e.g. the Linux kernel
 - Attraction just by being there
 - Self-accelerating effect
 - Only problematic in little used subsystems, old drivers, etc.
- ▶ Being BIG is not enough (LibreOffice)
- ▶ Being big in the media isn't enough (Firefox)
- ▶ Being technologically interesting isn't enough (Mesa)
- ▶ Being used by everybody isn't enough (Xserver)

- ▶ Requires *very* strong leadership
 - Difficult with projects that never (or too long ago) were a one-man show
- ▶ Don't think we will be able to change that...

Development Environment

▶ Code Repository

- git: better than sliced bread
- Private repos – information difficult to find

▶ Acception

- Patches (answering rate, acceptance rate)
- New subprojects (drivers, subsystems)
- Non-code contributions

▶ Documentation

- Especially structure

▶ Quality and accessibility of non-code services (Mailing lists, Bugzilla, Wiki, ...)

- How to change this other than by contributors (chicken/egg)?

▶ License (MIT-alike vs. GPL)? Don't think so.

Easy Startup

- ▶ Openness
 - Almost trivial with git-based projects
- ▶ Ease of development infrastructure
 - Way more difficult with git
 - Still not understood generally – needs more advertizing
- ▶ Startup documentation
 - Membership
 - Starting points
- ▶ Mentoring
- ▶ Low-hanging fruits for starters

Employment

- ▶ Main source of contribution ATM
 - Distributions
 - Hardware vendors
 - Software vendors
 - High-end using industries

- ▶ Good for base speed in development

Subvention Programs

- ▶ Google Summer of Code
 - Some success of long term contributors already
 - Still a low rate
- ▶ X.org's Endless Vacation of Code
 - So far only as addon for good evaluated gSoC projects with too little slots
 - No promotion beyond this original idea
 - Low success rate so far
 - Lessons learned: pay only *after* fully successful evaluation
- ▶ How to improve rate?

Education

▶ Industry: Trainees

- At SuSE successful in other areas

▶ Academia

- Promoting OpenSource OSes and tools
- OpenSource classes (tools/environments/concepts)
- Fixing bugs as class work for getting a glimpse in these environments
 - X.org's Bugzilla probably not suited – bugs often highly hardware dependent, intermittent, difficult to reproduce, let alone fix
 - List of low- to middle-hanging fruits needed

▶ Can't say much about success – yet :^)